Abstract—Modern applications, especially real time applications, are hungry for high-speed end-to-end transmission which usually conflicts with the necessary requirements of confidential and secure transmission. In this work, a relatively fast, lightweight and attack-resistant crypto algorithm is proposed. The algorithm is a symmetric block cipher that uses a secure pre-shared secret as the first step. Then, a dynamic length key is generated and inserted inside the cipher text. Upon receiving the cipher text, the receiver extracts the key from the received cipher text to decrypt the message. In this algorithm, ciphering and deciphering are mainly based on simple XOR operations followed by substitutions and transpositions in order to add more confusion and diffusion to the algorithm. Experimental results show faster encryption/decryption time when compared to known encryption standards.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Several emerging areas of information and communication technology (ICT) require interconnected devices like Internet of Things (IoT) and sensor networks. IoT and smart applications are growing rapidly and are commonly accessed through smartphones. Currently, more and more smart devices are daily connected to the internet, such as smartphones, smart TVs, game consoles and even most of the home devices like refrigerators and air-conditioners [1]. All these devices suffer from being resource-constrained regarding their low processing power, limited battery power life, small display size, small memory, and limited storing capacity. As IoT and other smart applications are growing rapidly, they encounter many risks and challenges such as: dealing with huge amounts of data, processing power, energy consumption, address security and privacy threats [2]. Security and privacy are fundamental requirements for any application, especially smart applications. The current modern standard cryptographic algorithms were originally designed for traditional desktop/server implementations and many of them consume an unacceptable amount of system resources (computation power, RAM, storage, etc.) and are not suitable for resource-constrained devices [2]. Therefore, there is a need for lightweight cryptography (LWC) algorithms that suit such resource-constrained devices [3–4].

LWC is one of the most promising research areas in cryptography since it is considered fast in encryption processing, resistant to attacks and low in resource requirements. There are no strict properties needed in order to classify an encryption algorithm as an LWC [5]. According to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the main reasons for adopting LWC for smart power constrained devices are the need for efficient end-to-end communication and adoptability in resource-constrained smart devices [3, 6]. Generally, any cryptographic design should take into considerations the tradeoff between security, cost and performance. The performance measurements include power, energy consumption, latency and throughput. Security requirements, on the other hand, aim to maintain an acceptable level of secrecy and privacy of the system. Cryptography, which is part of security, is divided into symmetric and asymmetric cryptography. The symmetric cryptography algorithms use a single private key for encryption and decryption and are originally designed for a wide range of applications that use hardware devices with high processing power and large resources. On the other hand, the asymmetric cryptography algorithms use a pair of keys, a public and a private one. One key is used for encryption and the other for decryption. Traditional symmetric and asymmetric algorithms are not suitable for constrained devices while lightweight cryptographic algorithms are the best choice [7]. Some of the candidate applications for the LWC algorithms include wireless sensor network (WSN), radio-frequency identification, wireless body area network (WBAN), IoT, smart cards, embedded systems, smart systems, etc. [8–9]. These applications support dissimilar devices in heterogeneous environments with minimum human intervention. For example, IoT devices communicate with minimum or no human intervention, a fact that represents a new challenge to the IoT system by both exposing many security attacks as well as gaining unauthorized device access by the attacker device. This may essentially result in severe system damages. Moreover, some IoT implementations are cloud-based applications which have many security issues and challenges [3, 10].

This work focuses on introducing a new model of symmetric block cipher encryption. It is classified as LWC since it requires only a small amount of resources like memory, computing, storage, time and space.
II. RELATED WORK

Lightweight encryption is a recent scientific field. Many lightweight block ciphers (LWBCs) have been proposed. Some of these were modifications and simplifications of traditional block ciphers while others were new like the data encryption standard lightweight (DESL) which is basically based on the original design principles of DES with a variant of using a single S-box instead of eight S-boxes. DESL is claimed to be resistant against most common known attacks like differential, linear, and Davis-Murphy attacks, and it is used in low resource devices like RFID, WSNR, WBN and IoT [11]. Over the last decade, variations of LWC with different properties have been proposed [12]. A word-oriented stream cipher [13] that takes 128-bit as an initial vector and an initial key as inputs while the generated output is a 32-bit key-stream. Afterwards, the key-stream is used to encrypt the plain text. The word-oriented stream cipher algorithm was developed to deal with 8-bit characters in the encryption/decryption process. In each step, the algorithm output is an 8-bit key character, which is bitwise added to the plain-text character to produce the cipher-text character. The same operation is performed for the decryption process. Theoretically, the proposed algorithm shows high performance through high nonlinear complexity. An extensive literature survey of more than 100 algorithms was performed in order to systemize the concept of LWC in [12]. The survey identified two categories of LWC algorithms. The first is the ultra-LWC, which deals with highly specialized algorithms providing one function with high performance on one platform and the second is the ubiquitous cryptography which deals with multilayer algorithms in terms of functionality and implementation. A new dynamic crypto symmetric algorithm [14-16] that uses a pre-shared secret was proposed to regenerate a predefined table. The regenerated table is again rearranged and shifted many times before the shared-key insertion. The encryption/decryption operations used in this algorithm are simple bitwise XoR operations between the index generation process (IGP), and attack resistant algorithm. The original algorithm consists of three main processes, the index generation process (IGP), the encryption process (EP) and the decryption process (DP) [16]. The general architecture of the original algorithm is briefly described as:

- The IGP is common between the EP and the DP. First, an initial table and a shared-secret are generated and shared. The shared-secret is used to generate the transformation table (TT) and the table of indexes (TI).
- The EP is performed by XoR-ing the plaintext with the cipher-key to generate the scrambled text. Then the cipher-key is inserted inside the scrambled text according to the values from the TI and the result is the ciphertext (C).
- The DP is performed exactly as the EP process but in the reverse order. In the DP process, the cipher-key is extracted back from the C.

B. The Solution Architecture

In this work, additional cryptographic enhancement properties were added to the original algorithm. These enhancements include:

- Using the CSPRNG to generate a random shared secret in order to be difficult, but not impossible, for an adversary to predict.
- Using the CSPRNG to generate a random shared secret key, which should also be difficult, yet not impossible to predict.
- Using the IPsec based on the internet key exchange protocol (IKEv2) to establish a secure connection to exchange data. IPsec is a standard protocol aiming to provide end-to-end security for the internet protocol (IP). The exchanged messages are protected by IPsec and the IPsec session is authenticated using IKEv2 [22].
- Adding the concept of confusion and diffusion (CD) property to the algorithm by implementing substitution and permutation boxes (S-P-(Box)). The CD concept was firstly proposed in [22] as basic building blocks for any cryptographic system. According to [22], the CD concept aims to thwart cryptographic attacks of the statistical cryptanalysis type. Confusion strives to make the relationship between the statistics of the ciphertext and the value of the encryption key as complex as possible while
the diffusion strives to make the statistical relationship between the plaintext and the ciphertext as complex as possible in order to thwart attempts to deduce the key [2, 23].

The three main processes of the algorithm are described briefly below [16]:

1) Index Generation Process
- The shared-value (ShrdV) is randomly generated by using the Blum Blum Shub cryptographically secure pseudorandom number generator (BBS-CSPRNG) and is shared by the IPsec-IKEv2 tunneling protocol.
- The initial table, IniT, is a 16*16 (hex) table, which is fixed and shared between the sender and the receiver.
- The transformation table, TranT, is a table that is generated by performing permutation on the IniT based on the value of ShrdV.
- The indexing table, IndT, is the result of performing another permutation on the TransT based on the value deduced from the TransT.

2) Encryption Process
- The plaintext (P) is the original text that is going to be encrypted.
- The key T is the system key which represents the heart of the encryption process. After generating the key K, it is used to bitwise XoRed P $\oplus$ K and is inserted inside the resulted scrambled table ScrT.
- ScrT is the result of performing the XoR operation between the P and the Key.
- The key insertion KeyI is the result of inserting the Key K inside the ScrT.
- The S-BoX is added to the algorithm to enhance the confusion and diffusion properties of the algorithm.
- The cipher text C is the encrypted text.

3) Decryption Process
- The S-BoX is used to recover back the original form of the C before the key recovery (KR) process is performed.
- The KR is the first step in decrypting the C. In the KR process, K is extracted back from the C whereas the ScrT is regenerated back.
- P is recovered back by performing XoR operation between the ScrT and the K, P$\oplus$K.

The LWDC architecture shown on Figure 1 and the pseudo code on Figure 2 represent the general design process of the algorithm. The most important component of any encryption algorithm is the encryption key. The key selection process and the key value should be carefully chosen. The encryption key properties include a key secrecy, a key length and an initial value (seed) [24]. The basic principle in choosing any encryption key (shared value) is to be obtained from one of the known cryptographically secure pseudorandom number generators (CSPRNG) like Lavarand, Simon Cooper or Landon Curt Noll. The strength of CSPRNGs depends on their properties. These properties are represented in the difficulty of finding the next bit to be generated from the previous given sequence of bits without having any clue of the seed in polynomial time. In addition to these properties, the algorithm should satisfy forward and backward unpredictability. All of these properties are found in the Blum Blum Shub (BBS) pseudo random number generator. The BBS is considered as the most preferable algorithm for cryptographic purpose like key generation since it is based on quadratic residue NP-complete problem [25]. Based on that, the BBS-CSPRNG technique was added to generate the shared value ShrdV=f(BBS-CSPRNG) assuming that the IKEv2 protocol is used to share the secret value between the communicating parties.
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extend the domain of a public random permutation [22]. In the decryption process, the same procedures are performed as in the encryption process but in reverse order. The algorithm could be implemented either in hardware or software. In case of hardware limited resources implementation, it is recommended to burn the algorithm on the hardware chipset while in the software implementation it will be easy to use as a portable and fast encryption-decryption algorithm.

C. Implementation and Analysis

The algorithm was tested by using Java JDK 1.7.0_171 and the Java Cryptography Extension (JCE) on a Fujitsu Laptop i7-4702MQ CPU (8-GB RAM, Windows-7). The same files that were used to test the SVSCS algorithm in [21] were used in the experiments and the testing was performed on 10 different file sizes and the results were finally compared with the LWDC results. EP and DP processes were performed on different P sizes. It is worth mentioning that the comparison was performed on the encryption-decryption time which includes the sub-processes of both algorithms (Table I). The key generation process, (S-P)-Box, table scrambling, key insertion, and the encryption time for the different plaintext size are listed on Table I.

The encryption time comparison between the SVSCS and our algorithm is shown in Figure 3, in which the encryption time looks equal for both algorithms. In fact, the SVSCS is a little bit faster than our algorithm because the SVCS performs the (S-P)-Box operation before the key insertion process, whereas in our algorithm the (S-P)-Box is performed after the key insertion which expands table size. The rest of the figures (Figures 4-7) show the time variation between the sub encryption operations for both algorithms. The key generation time is shown on Figure 4 where it is clear that our algorithm is a little bit faster than the SVSCS regardless of data size due to the BBS-CSRNG being used. The (S-P)-Box time which represents the CD properties is shown on Figure 5 where it is clear that our algorithm consumes more time than the SVSCS, due to the (S-P)-Box operations that are performed on a larger table size than the one of the SVSCS. The table scrambling time is shown in Figure 6 in which no significant time difference is noticed between the algorithms. The key insertion process shown in Figure 7 indicates that there is no significant time difference between the algorithms. Decryption time comparison between SVSCS and our algorithm is listed on Table II. The key generation process is not calculated here since it is generated in the encryption phase.
Decryption time comparison between the SVSCS and our algorithm is shown in Figure 8. The decryption time looks equal for both algorithms. In some cases our algorithm performs faster than the SVSCS and in other cases the SVSCS performs faster. The time difference is not significant. The algorithm was improved by adding the IPsec-IKEv2 to exchange the secret shared value, by adding the BBS-CSPRNG to generate the shared secure value, and by changing the location of the (S-P)-Box operations in the algorithm. These enhancements give extra randomness (confusion and diffusion) to the cipher text and make it more attack-resistant. However, the added enhancements did not affect the encryption speed negatively, the detailed analysis on [16, 20] is still valid in this enhanced version of the algorithm. On this work, the cipher text becomes more resistant to brute force attacks since the algorithm uses a plaintext size of 190 bytes (1520 bits) and the (S-P)-Box. Moreover, using the CD properties in addition to the key insertion process produces a well-mixed and shuffled ciphertext. Thus, it will be hard to solve a plaintext size of $(2^{(1520)}) \times (2^{(1024)})$. In this case, the only possibility to attack the algorithm is to use cryptanalysis attacks. From the previous studies, it is proven that the algorithm outperforms the speed of the advanced encryption standard (AES). It is 15 times faster in encryption and 9 times faster in decryption [14-16, 20-21].

### TABLE II. DECRIPTION TIME COMPARISON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cipher Size in MB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-BoX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrambling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decryption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVSCS</td>
<td>0.1215 0.3745 0.6841 1.0050 2.0025 3.7836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWDC</td>
<td>0.0117 0.4695 0.5996 1.0999 2.3634 4.4918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. CONCLUSION

The proposed algorithm shows faster encryption-decryption time than the conventional standard algorithm (AES). The algorithm has the property of hardware and software implementation and hence the uploading of the code on the hardware chipset for faster processing is recommended. The algorithm is simple in nature but very hard to break. Adding the IPsec-IKEv2, the BBS-CSPRNG and the (S-P)-Box puts the algorithm in the levels of the modern lightweight symmetric encryptions in the market.
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